

⑤  
05-2  
1023  
11 20

██████████/NOFORN - SKEET CHANNELS ONLY

PROJECT SUN STREAK (U)

ERV SESSION PROCEDURES REPORT

WARNING NOTICE: INTELLIGENCE SOURCES AND METHODS INVOLVED

CONTROL NUMBER: Envelope #1	NICKNAME: Project "B" <b>SG1B</b>
DATE OF SESSION: 13 Mar 87	TARGET COUNTRY: ██████████
REFERENCES: SPR-001-87, dtd 9 Feb 87, SAB	SESSION NUMBER: 02
DATE OF REPORT: 24 Mar 87	MISSION STATUS: <b>Closed</b>
TECHNIQUE UTILIZED: ERV	SOURCE IDENTIFIER: 101

SG1A

1. (S/NF/SK) TASKING: This was the second session conducted on this same target by this same Source. The target was a prominent individual, ██████████

SG1A

mission, as in the previous session, was to locate this person and provide as much personalia pertaining to him as possible. The Source, however, was provided no targeting data except encrypted coordinates as per Extended Remote Viewing (ERV) protocols, to preclude inadvertent geographic cuing. No other cuing or descriptive data was provided to Source nor was He told that this session would be a "retarget" of a previous session.

2. (S/NF/SK) SESSION: This session was the first ERV tasking provided to Source in over six weeks due to a long compassionate leave taken to attend to a serious family crisis. Although this crisis is not completely resolved, the matter did not appear to have a significant affect on the information provided in this session. During the actual session, there were no reportable anomalies or incidents which may have affected or influenced the data provided by Source.

3. (S/NF/SK) SUMMARY:

a. (S/NF/SK) The following narrative, contained within quotation marks, is an abridged copy of Source's post-session written summary. This summary has been editorialized, (as indicated), by the undersigned for clarity, grammar and structure. The actual unabridged summary is maintained in the mission field dossier at this location along with any other material produced during the session, i.e., sketches, notes, etc. BEGIN SUMMARY:

~~SECRET~~ NOFORN - SKEET CHANNELS ONLY

"(The target is a) man, probably (in his) 40s. (He is) physically strong and powerful. His life is now more sedentary and (his) muscle is turning a little soft. (The) man is a manager. He is a realist who is able to see the big picture and (routinely) plans several moves ahead. He is usually a conservative but occasionally (Source underlining), he is brilliantly innovative. He thinks in mathematical terms and (in terms of) cause and effect, (in other words) if I put pressure here what will be the effect (there). He enjoys his work. It is the most important thing in his life. He enjoys doing the impossible (and) because of his success rate with the impossible, he has become something of a trouble shooter. He likes that reputation. He likes the furnishings (trappings/perks) of his office, the benefits, the clothes and the vehicle driver it gives him. (However) his primary motivation is doing his work. Materialism is not a big motivation. He has a wife but he has no love or even affection for her. If they live together, it is not 100% of the time. I believe he has a son in his early 20s and possibly a daughter. He has no sincere inter-personal relationships either (with) family or friends. His major fears are of failure and criticism from (his superiors). He fears a series of human errors which he has no way of controlling or predicting. He neither loves nor hates nor even thinks much of the system (under which he works). The system is the medium which has let him achieve the success he has (attained). (This) man has pain at the base of the neck possibly from an injury or accident. (This) pain radiates out (to the) right shoulder and to the right arm when he gets tense. (This) man also has arthritis which may or may not be a problem (to him) at this time. There is some liver damage and probably chronic bowel problems. (His) chloresteroil level is becoming a problem (or is already) a problem (to him). He is a type "A" personality. (I see this) man as a good Teamster's President." END SUMMARY

b. (S/NF/SK) During the actual session, Source reported other data which may impact on the final assessment of this target. That data is listed below in raw unevaluated form:

(1) (S/NF/SK) The man works in an area with a, "very controlled feeling, quiet even though there are people here, the sound of typewriters...a very sterile reception area...(an area) of cubicles all tied to the same directorate, commission or branch...the men here have power of a kind but they are accountable..."

(2) (S/NF/SK) In addition to the data provided in Source's summary, the target individual was also described as, "energetic, very strong willed with an ability to use his will/power to control others...demands perfection, a lot of people who work for him are afraid of him...not prone to pass out awards or praise...he is

~~SECRET~~/NOFORN - SKEET CHANNELS ONLY

strong in mathematics because to him mathematics are real...a very clean and meticulous person..." At one point in the session, Source perceived a strong image of a ship on the water but could not perceive the relationship between this vessel and the target. According to Source the ship or the concept of a water craft may have something to do with the past experience of the target. Later Source reported that this individual, "is ambitious and enjoys power but it is not an obsession. He enjoys recognition (for his work)..." but has very few personal ties to other people. Source perceived that this individual may have a set of rooms or small apartment by himself even though he is believed to be married. He is not a, "social animal."

(3) (S/NF/SK) The medical problems perceived in the target were perceived by Source in characteristic ERV terminology, i.e., the concept of cholesterol problems was initially reported as, "sluggish blood, greasy build-up". The target may also be experiencing some vision problems which may necessitate glasses in the near future. The pain in the lower neck may be caused by a chip or previous break in a bone in that region of the body.

4. (S/NF/SK) OPS FEEDBACK: Following the session, the Source was asked if He "recognized" this individual. Source could not recall any aspect of this person which reminded Him of anyone He knew and was somewhat surprised to learn that this was the same individual He had reported on in a previous session. This does not imply any lack of validity to the data provided and in fact may indicate an very objective reporting session, free of previous overlay. The data from both sessions does, in fact, bear many striking similarities and in some cases, duplicated reporting. The

SG1B

basis, assuming of course, that the data has some degree of validity. Consideration should be given to formalizing this information into some type of official reporting format in which it could be made available to individuals or agencies which may have official contacts with the target.

SGFOIA3